

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ALLYN MCFARLAND,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 CHUCK, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01972-APG-MDC

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation**

[ECF No. 4]

8 On March 26, 2024, Magistrate Judge Couvillier recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Allyn McFarland did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF
10 No. 4. McFarland did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the
11 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
13 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Couvillier’s report and recommendation
17 (ECF No. 4) is accepted and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
18 instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 10th day of April, 2023.



20 ANDREW P. GORDON
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23